

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/751,312 01/02/2004		Joseph J. Schottler	P06708US0-6025	2007	
34082	7590 01/25/2006		EXAMINER		
ZARLEY LAW FIRM P.L.C.			CHANG, SUNRAY		
CAPITAL SQUARE 400 LOCUST, SUITE 200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DES MOINES, IA 50309-2350			2121		
			DATE MAILED: 01/25/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applica	ition No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10/751	,312	SCHOTTLER ET AL.				
		Examin	er	Art Unit				
		Sunray	Chang	2121				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appears on t	he cover sheet with	the correspondence ac	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Masions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come of period for reply is specified above, the maximum is the to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF sof 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. Itatutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNICA event, however, may a rep I will expire SIX (6) MONTH application to become ABAI	ATION. Ily be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this of NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on 02 January 20	004.					
2a)□	This action is FINAL.		s action is non-final.					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4) 🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)∐	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election	requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by t	ne Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 January 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner.	Note the attached	Office Action of form P	10-152.			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
	application from the Internati			cocived in this Nationa	i Otage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
			·					
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	DTO 0.40°		ımmary (PTO-413)				
3) 🛛 Infor	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o		5) Notice of Infe	/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PT	ГО-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>20040424</u> . 6)								

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-9 are presented for examination.

Claims 1 - 9 are rejected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1 - 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Joseph F.

McCormick (U.S. Patent No. 5,012,722, and referred to as McCormick hereinafter).

Regarding independent claim 1, 8 and 9, McCormick teaches,

- A method of driving the coil of an electrohydraulic valve with a PWM drive, comprising:
- Transmitting a feedback signal to a digitizing device that is electrically connected to the electrohydraulic valve;
- Sampling the feedback signal within the digitizing device to create a plurality of signal samples;
- Transmitting the plurality of samples to an accumulator;
- Averaging the plurality of samples within the accumulator to create an average value; and
- Transmitting the average value to a closed loop control algorithm that generates a pulse width signal to drive the coil of the electrohydraulic valve.

Application/Control Number: 10/751,312

Page 3

Art Unit: 2121

Regarding dependent claims 2-4,

The digitizing device is an A/D converter, a DSP or a micro controller.

Regarding dependent claims 7,

 The accumulatoe resets when the algorithm sends the pulse width signal to the coil of the electrohydraulic valve. [can be accordingly adjusted approximately once every 1 ms, Col. 7, Lines 58 – 60]

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

3. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCormick, and in view of Wikipedia (the free encyclopedia

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/PID controller, and referred to as Wikipedia hereinafter).

(McCormick as set forth above generally discloses the basic inventions.)

Regarding dependent claims 5 and 6,

McCormick teaches algorithms [formula relationships or look up data tables, Col. 7, Lines 47 – 61].

McCormick does not teach PID or PI.

Wikipedia teaches PID [PID controller, mathematically, control algorithms, Page 1, Paragraph 1], for the purpose of adjusting process inputs based on the history and rate of change of the error signal, which gives more accurate and stable control. [Page 1, Paragraph 1]

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the teaching of **McCormick** to include the teach of **Wikipedia**, "PID", for the purpose of adjusting process inputs based on the history and rate of change of the error signal, which gives more accurate and stable control.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Balestri et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,398,286) discloses a system for enhancing an analog

Art Unit: 2121

signal by eliminating undesired portions of a detected signal, feedback, compensation. Chaplin et

al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,490,841) discloses a method and apparatus for canceling vibrations.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Sunray Chang whose telephone number is (571) 272-3682. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Anthony Knight can be reached on (571) 272-3687. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-746-3506.

Sunray Chang Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 2121 Technology Center 2100 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

January 16, 2006

(hat) Anthony Knight Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3600